

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

ROBERT PAUL GLAD, JR., AS THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF SARAH L. GLAD; MEGHAN MANFRA; HADEER METWALLY; KATE NORWALK; SANDRA ALFORD; ERIN BURGESS; MARY MOSS, AS THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF KRISTA MOSS; LISA GREGORY; TAYLOR BLANTON, AS THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF REBECCA BLANTON; LEANNA GATLIN; LESLIE POLI; and COREY WHITE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE
SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

Case No. 26-CV-002079-910

**DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

Defendant North Carolina State University (“NC State” or “Defendant”), through undersigned counsel, hereby moves to dismiss all claims contained in the First Amended Complaint filed by Robert Paul Glad, Jr., as the Administrator of the Estate of Sarah L. Glad; Meghan Manfra; Hadeer Metwally; Kate Norwalk; Sandra Alford; Erin Burgess; Mary Moss, as the Administrator of the Estate of Krista Moss; Lisa Gregory; Taylor Blanton, as the Administrator of the Estate of Rebecca Blanton; Leanna Gatlin; Leslie Poli; and Corey White (“Plaintiffs”) pursuant to Rules 12(b)(1),

12(b)(2), and 12(b)(6) of the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure. In support of this motion, NC State respectfully states as follows:

1. The claims asserted by Plaintiffs in this action are brought pursuant to *Corum v. University of North Carolina*, 330 N.C. 761 (1992), and are framed as violations of the Constitution of the State of North Carolina, including:

- a. Art. I, Sec. 9 of the North Carolina Constitution Protecting Plaintiffs' Right to Bodily Integrity;
- b. Art. I, Sec. 1 of the North Carolina Constitution Protecting Plaintiffs' Right to the Enjoyment of Fruits of Their Own Labor;
- c. Art. I, Sec. 1 of the North Carolina Constitution Protecting Plaintiffs' Right to Life and the Pursuit of Happiness;
- d. Art. I, Sec. 15 and Art. IX, Sec. 2 of the North Carolina Constitution Protecting Plaintiffs' Right to a Safe Environment Where Learning Can Take Place.

2. Plaintiffs have not stated any colorable constitutional claim because they “fail[] to demonstrate that they have suffered the deprivation of any right protected by the Constitution.” *Cholette v. Town of Kure Beach*, 88 N.C. App. 280, 282 (1987). Rather, Plaintiffs' alleged injuries sound in negligence and workers' compensation, not in violations of constitutional rights, and, according to the Amended Complaint, the alleged source of this injury is NC State's alleged failure to warn and protect Plaintiffs from exposure to contamination.

3. Plaintiffs' claims are, in fact, tort claims or workers' compensation claims. *See Doe v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Bd. of Educ.*, 222 N.C. App. 359, 365 (2012) (“The mere fact that Plaintiff has asserted that certain of her claims are ‘constitutional’ in nature does not automatically mean that she has stated one or more

valid constitutional claims.”). Any assertion that NC State’s alleged conduct rises to a constitutional level is not grounded in fact or law.¹ A plaintiff may not “elevat[e] negligence claims by way of *Corum* to bypass governmental immunity.” *Taylor v. Wake Cnty.*, 258 N.C. App. 178, 191 (2018).

4. Under *Corum*, a direct constitutional claim may be asserted against the State only where there is no adequate state law remedy. “[A]n adequate remedy is a meaningful one, though not necessarily the one the plaintiff might prefer.” *Washington v. Cline*, 385 N.C. 824, 829, 898 S.E.2d 667, 671 (2024). Here, Plaintiffs (who were students and/or employees at NC State) have adequate state law remedies under the Workers’ Compensation Act and/or the State Tort Claims Act. Plaintiffs may not bypass those remedies by attempting to recast their allegations as direct constitutional claims under *Corum*, 330 N.C. 761 (1992); *see also Taylor v. Wake County*, 258 N.C. App. 178, 192 (2018).

5. Employees (or former employees) of NC State (and their estates if they are deceased) are provided with an adequate state law remedy through the North Carolina Workers’ Compensation Act, N.C. Gen. Stat. § 97-1 *et. seq.*, which includes a limited waiver of NC State’s sovereign immunity. The North Carolina Workers Compensation Act “provides the exclusive remedy available to employees seeking relief for work-related injuries resulting from the acts or omissions of their employers,” *Blue v. Mountaire Farms, Inc.*, 247 N.C. App. 489, 496 (2016), and the

¹ While NC State does not presently seek sanctions, it expressly reserves the right to pursue remedies under Rule 11 of the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure should Plaintiffs continue to assert these claims in the absence of a good-faith basis.

Industrial Commission has exclusive jurisdiction over such claims, N.C. Gen. Stat. § 97-91.

6. Students (or former students) of NC State (and their estates if they are deceased) are provided with an adequate state law remedy through the State Tort Claims Act (“STCA”), which includes a limited waiver of NC State’s sovereign immunity. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 143-291 *et. seq.*; *see Taylor v. Wake Cnty.*, 258 N.C. App. 178, 192 (2018) (holding that a “Tort Claims Act action ... is an adequate remedy under state law such that [a plaintiff] is unable to pursue a direct constitutional claim ... in superior court.”). Tort claims against the State fall within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Industrial Commission. *Guthrie v. N.C. State Ports Auth.*, 307 N.C. 522, 540, 299 S.E.2d 618, 628 (1983).

7. While the North Carolina legislature has expressly waived sovereign immunity for workers’ compensation claims and tort claims by enacting the North Carolina Workers’ Compensation Act and the State Tort Claims Act that allow such claims to be brought in the Industrial Commission, sovereign immunity bars claims against NC State for tort and workers’ compensation claims asserted in Superior Court.

8. Because these adequate state remedies exist, Plaintiffs fail to state a valid *Corum* claim, and the Amended Complaint should be dismissed. *See, e.g., Washington*, 385 N.C. at 832, 898 S.E.2d at 673 (dismissing *Corum* claim and finding law already provided adequate remedy); *Guthrie v. N.C. State Ports Auth.*, 307 N.C.

522, 540, 299 S.E.2d 618, 628 (1983); *Taylor v. Wake County*, 258 N.C. App. 178, 192 (2018).

9. In accordance with N.C. R. Civ. Proc. § 1A-1, Rule 5, NC State will prepare and file a memorandum of law in further support of this Motion.

WHEREFORE, NC State respectfully requests that the Court grant its motion to dismiss all counts in the First Amended Complaint with prejudice pursuant to Rules 12(b)(1), 12(b)(2), and 12(b)(6) of the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure and grant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, this the 20th day of February 2026.

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

/s/ Todd R. Roessler [Electronically Submitted]

Todd S. Roessler

N.C. State Bar No.: 28046

TRoessler@ktslaw.com

4208 Six Forks Rd., Suite 1400

Raleigh, North Carolina 27609

Telephone: (919) 420-1718

Facsimile (919) 510-6120

Richard J. Keshian

N.C. State Bar No. 10681

RKeshian@ktslaw.com

Stephen R. Berlin

N.C. State Bar No.: 12317

SBerlin@ktslaw.com

1001 West Fourth Street

Winston-Salem, NC 27101

Tel: (336) 607-7300

Fax: (336) 607-7500

Attorneys for Defendant NC State

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was this day served upon the following counsel of record by email and by serving this document through the North Carolina eCourts eFiling system which will send it to all counsel of record as follows:

EDWARDS KIRBY, LLP

David F. Kirby
NC State Bar No. 7841
Winston S. Kirby
NC State Bar No. 49316
Tiffany G. W. Frickey
NC State Bar No. 43281
3201 Glenwood Ave, Suite 100
Raleigh, NC 27612
Phone: (919) 780-5400
Fax: (919) 800-3099
dkirby@edwardskirby.com
wkirby@edwardskirby.com
tfrickey@edwardskirby.com

Co-counsel for Plaintiffs Robert Paul Glad, Jr., as the Administrator of the Estate of Sara L. Glad; Meghan Manfra; Hadeer Metwally; Kate Norwalk; Sandra Alford; and Erin Burgess.

LAW OFFICES OF F. BRYAN BRICE, JR.

F. Bryan Brice, Jr.
NC State Bar No. 17840
130 S. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, NC 27601
Phone: (919) 754-1600
biyan@attybryanbrice.com

Co-counsel for Plaintiffs Robert Paul Glad, Jr., as the Administrator of the Estate of Sara L. Glad; Meghan Manfra; Hadeer Metwally; Kate Norwalk; Sandra Alford; and Erin Burgess.

WHITLEY LAW FIRM

Benjamin H. Whitley
NC State Bar No. 31968
Holden K. McLemore
NC State Bar No. 56361
Shelsey A. Hall
NC State Bar No. 62398
100 Social Street, Suite 200
Raleigh, NC 27609
Phone: (919) 785-5000
Fax: (919) 785-3729
bhwh@whitleylawfirm.com
hkm@whitleylawfirm.com
sah@whitleylawfirm.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs Mary Moss, as the Administrator of the Estate of Krista Moss; Lisa Gregory; Taylor Blanton, as the Administrator of the Estate of Rebecca Blanton; and Leanna Gatlin.

LEE SEGUI PLLC

Patrick Wallace
NC State Bar No. 48138
900 W. Morgan St.
Raleigh, NC 27603
Phone: (919) 600-5000
Fax: (919) 600-5035
pwallace@leesegui.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs Leslie Poli and Corey White.

This 20th day of February 2026.

/s/ Todd R. Roessler [Electronically Submitted]

Todd S. Roessler

N.C. State Bar No.: 28046

TRoessler@ktslaw.com

4208 Six Forks Rd., Suite 1400

Raleigh, North Carolina 27609

Telephone: (919) 420-1718

Facsimile (919) 510-6120

Richard J. Keshian

N.C. State Bar No. 10681

RKeshian@ktslaw.com

Stephen R. Berlin

N.C. State Bar No.: 12317

SBerlin@ktslaw.com

1001 West Fourth Street

Winston-Salem, NC 27101

Tel: (336) 607-7300

Fax: (336) 607-7500

Attorneys for Defendant NC State